Itemio

West Lawrence Neighborhood Association, Incorporated

1121 Stoneridge Drive Lawrence, Kansas 66049-4772 (785) 865-5848

RECEIVED

FEB 2 3 2004

City County Planning Office Lawrence, Kansas

Alan L. Cowles, M.D., Ph.D. President

February 22, 2004

Lawrence-Douglas County Planning Commission City Hall Sixth & Massachusetts Streets Lawrence, Kansas 66044

Re: Rezoning of 12-acre PCD-2 area on Legends Drive

Dear Friends:

With this letter I request, on behalf of the West Lawrence Neighborhood Association, that consideration of the above matter be taken up by the Planning Commission at its next meeting and not deferred.

Although I cannot speak for the owner of the 12 acres, Mr. Larry Chance, of Alvamar Realty, Inc., has told me that consideration of the matter at this week's Planning Commission meeting is acceptable to him. For confirmation, please call Alvamar Realty, Inc.

Sincerely yours,

Alan L. Cowles, M.D., Ph.D.

President

Memo

To: Planning Commission

From: Planning Staff

CC:

Date: February 25, 2004

Re: Deferral requests/recommendations

Staff has gathered the following input regarding the property owner deferral requests:

- The City Commission indicated at their Tuesday, February 24, 2004 meeting that, as the applicant in Items 10, 13, 14 and 15, they would like the Planning Commission to proceed with all Items as presented by Staff.
- Regarding Items 7A & 7B, Stonegate IV Addition, the applicant's representative stated a preference for deferral over denial, but would like the opportunity to speak to the Commission prior to deferral action.

Since these Items are on the Consent Agenda, they would have to be pulled from the Consent Agenda in order to allow the requested presentation time. Staff recommends a time limit be set for this presentation if it is allowed.

If the Commission wishes simply to defer without the presentation, the Items may be left on the Consent Agenda with Staff's recommendation for deferral.

- Regarding Item 10, Alvamar Realty (property owner) stated to Staff that it
 would like to retain the deferral request for Item 10 and a representative
 would be present to provide information about the continued request.
- Regarding Items 14 & 15, the property owner's representative had requested time to present a concept plan for the subject areas. Staff has not reviewed this plan as it has not been formally submitted.
- It should be noted that allowing presentation of information that has not been reviewed by Staff is contrary to the recently adopted revised Planning Commission By-Laws. (Re: Items 7, 10, 14 & 15)
- The Commission has a precedent of dealing with ALL deferral requests prior to the Consent Agenda for the benefit of the public.